

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/902,555 07/10/2001		07/10/2001	Pierre Hirsbrunner	81358-200	7190
28765	7590	01/22/2003			
WINSTON & STRAWN				EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 1400 L STREET, N.W.				KOSLOW, O	CAROL M
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3502		20005-3502		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1755	3
				DATE MAILED: 01/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			77			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/902,555	HIRSBRUNNER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
<u></u>		C. Melissa Koslow	1755			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)☐	,	This action is non-final.				
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde ion of Claims	•				
· · _	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	nn				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-16 is/are withdraw					
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	·				
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
i	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
•	on Papers	•				
9)🖾 :	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[] acc	epted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🗌 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.				
12) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:					
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
_	See the attached detailed Office action for a list					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
15) <u> </u>) The translation of the foreign language p Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •				
Attachmen	•	_				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 1755

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-6, drawn to a substrate treating composition, classified in class 106, subclass 2.

II. Claims 7-16, drawn to a method of treating a substrate to make it hydrophobic, classified in class 427, subclass 421.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, such as a binder.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation between Mr. Pianalto (Examiner) and Mr. Fanucci on 7 January 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-6. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 7-16 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 2, line 18, applicants teach the composition can include a "coloring principle". It is unclear what is meant by "coloring principle". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

This claim is indefinite since it is unclear what is meant by "coloring principle".

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 1755

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Weber et al.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gimvang, JP

51-126,986 or JP 54-28312.

Weber et al, Gimvang and abstracts for the Japanese references all teach a substrate treating solution for imparting hydrophobicity to the substrate comprising an aqueous solution of an alkali metal silicate and an alkali metal alkyl siliconate. The claimed composition reads upon that taught.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patel.

This reference teaches a substrate treating solution for imparting hydrophobicity to the substrate comprising an aqueous solution of an alkali metal silicate and an alkali metal alkyl siliconate. Column 4, line 6 teaches the composition can also contain a pigment or a biocide. A biocide is an agrochemical principle, since the biocide for this composition are those which kill substrate damaging organisms, such as mold, algae or mildew. The claimed composition reads upon that taught.

Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Brown.

This reference teaches a substrate treating solution for imparting hydrophobicity to the substrate comprising an aqueous solution of an alkali metal silicate and an alkali metal alkyl siliconate. Run numbers 7-9 teach aqueous compositions containing 1.25 wt% sodium silicate and 0.25 wt% sodium methyl siliconate; 2.5 wt% sodium silicate and 0.5 wt% sodium methyl siliconate and 5 wt% sodium silicate and 1 wt% sodium methyl siliconate. The composition falls within the claimed range. The claimed composition reads upon that taught.

- Art Unit: 1755

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 51-126,986.

As stated above, this reference teaches the claimed composition. The abstract for JP 51-126986 teaches the composition comprises 2-9 wt% of a mixture of sodium and potassium silicate and greater than 0.5 wt% sodium methyl siliconate. These amounts overlap the claimed ranges. Product claims with numerical ranges which overlap prior art ranges were held to have been obvious under 35 USC 103. *In re Wertheim* 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Malagari* 182 USPQ 549 (CCPA 1974); *In re Fields* 134 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1962); *In re Nehrenberg* 126 USPQ 383 (CCPA 1960). The reference suggests the claimed composition.

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brown.

As stated above, this reference teaches the claimed composition. Column 5, lines 40-55 teach the composition contains 0.1-10 wt% siliconate and 0.1-30 wt% silicate. These amounts overlap the claimed ranges. Product claims with numerical ranges which overlap prior art ranges were held to have been obvious under 35 USC 103. *In re Wertheim* 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Malagari* 182 USPQ 549 (CCPA 1974); *In re Fields* 134 USPQ 242 (CCPA 1962); *In re Nehrenberg* 126 USPQ 383 (CCPA 1960). Since the weight percentages overlap, one of ordinary skill in the art would be expect the molar ratios to also overlap, absent any showing to the contrary. The reference suggests the claimed composition.



Art Unit: 1755

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (703) 308-3817. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached at (703) 308-3823.

The fax number for Amendments filed under 37 CFR 1.116 or After Final communications is (703) 872-9311. The fax number for all other official communications is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661 or (703) 308-0662.

cmk January 21, 2003 C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700